

WORLD JOURNAL OF ADVANCE HEALTHCARE RESEARCH

Original Article

ISSN: 2457-0400 Volume: 9 Issue: 4 Page N. 226-232 Year: 2025

<u>ww.wjahr.com</u>

PREVALENCE OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN FEBRILE CHILDREN WITHOUT A FOCUS OF INFECTION AND DIAGNOSTIC IMPORTANCE OF URINALYSIS

¹*Sarah Ali al-shadeedi and ²Lamyaa Abul-Kareem Al-Saadi

¹Al-Najaf Health Directory, Al-Najaf, Iraq. ²Chairman of the Iraqi Scientific Counsel of Pediatrics, Baghdad, Iraq.

Article Received date: 20 Feb. 2025 Article Revised date: 11 March 2025 Article Accepted date: 01 April 2025



*Corresponding Author: Sarah Ali al-shadeedi

Al-Najaf health directory, Al-Najaf, Iraq.

ABSTRACT

Background: Urinary tract infections of children are public health problems need wide efforts in screening and diagnosis. Urine culture is the definite diagnostic method to assess urinary tract infections. **Aim of study:** To determine the prevalence of UTI in preschool and school age febrile children at age of 3 to 12 years old and the importance of urinalysis in screening and diagnosis of UTI. **Patients and methods:** A descriptive cross sectional study conducted in emergency unit and pediatric Consultancy clinic of AL-Imamein AL-Kadhimaein Medical City in Baghdad city during the period from 1st of September, 2018 to 31st of August, 2019. A sample of 100 febrile children (temperature \geq 38°C) age range (3-12) years Evaluation for urinary tract infection was done depending on microscopic urinalysis, dipstick analysis and Urine culture. **Results:** Urine culture of febrile children was positive in 12child (12%) of them;9 children (75%) of those with positive urine culture had E-Coli microorganism and 3child (25%) of them had Non E-Coli (Proteus, Klebsilla and Enterococcus). Significant symptoms of urinary tract infection among preschool and school age febrile are convulsions, abdominal pain, refusal to feed and dysuria. WBC/HPF, bacteriuria, Dipstick Nitrite test, Dipstick Leukocyte esterase test, ESR and CRP are helpful for screening and diagnosis. **Conclusions:** The prevalence of urinary tract infection among preschool and school age febrile tool to screen and diagnose urinary tract infection.

KEYWORDS: Urinary tract infection, Children, Urine culture.

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is a bacterial infection that can occur at any level of the urinary tract, including the bladder (cystitis), renal pelvis (pyelitis), or renal parenchyma (pyelonephritis). Infections of the urethra (urethritis) and reproductive tract (such as epididymitis and prostatitis) are much less common and are often associated with sexually transmitted diseases.^[1] Renal **abscess** can develop as a complication of urinary tract infections, occurring either within the renal parenchyma (intrarenal) or around the kidney (perinephric).^[2] UTI is a common and significant clinical problem in childhood. If left untreated, it can lead to serious complications such as renal scarring, hypertension, and end-stage renal disease.^[3] Although fever is a frequent symptom in children with UTI, it can be challenging to distinguish UTI from other febrile illnesses, particularly in developing countries.[4] Consequently, UTI is often **underdiagnosed** in pediatric wards, leading to missed opportunities for timely

intervention. Infections, whether symptomatic or asymptomatic, have greater clinical significance in childhood than in adulthood, as most renal scarring occurs following UTIs during the first five years of life.^[5] UTIs may also serve as a sentinel event for underlying renal abnormalities, although most affected children have normal anatomy. Early diagnosis and prompt initiation of treatment are crucial in preventing long-term renal damage. However, the growing problem of antibiotic resistance may hinder timely and effective therapy.^[6] Α thorough understanding of UTI pathogenesis, risk factors, diagnostic criteria, and appropriate antimicrobial use is essential for optimal management of UTIs in children.^[7] This study aims to determine the prevalence of UTI in febrile preschool and school-age children (aged 3 to 12 years) and assess the role of urinalysis in screening and diagnosing UTI.

L

I

METHOD

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at AL-Imamein AL-Kadhimaein Medical City, Baghdad, from September 1, 2018, to August 31, 2019. A convenient sample of 100 febrile children (ages 3–12 years) presenting to the emergency unit and pediatric clinic was selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria

- Children aged 3–12 years with fever (\geq 38°C).
- Minor potential sources of fever (e.g., upper respiratory tract infection, gastroenteritis, viral rash).

Exclusion Criteria

- Immunosuppressed children, fever >14 days, antibiotic use.
- Congenital renal anomalies, renal disease, definitive fever sources (e.g., pneumonia, meningitis).
- Neurological disorders and specific viral infections (e.g., varicella, Kawasaki syndrome).
 Data Collection & Ethical Considerations

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire after obtaining oral parental consent. Information included sociodemographic data, clinical presentation, and investigations (ESR, CRP, urinalysis, and urine culture).

Urine Sample Collection & Analysis

- Toilet-trained children: Midstream urine samples collected under sterile conditions.
- Uncircumcised males: Prepuce retracted and glans cleaned before sample collection.

• Samples were processed immediately for urinalysis, dipstick analysis, and urine culture.

Laboratory Methods

- Microscopic urinalysis: Centrifuged urine examined for WBCs (>10 cells/HPF) and Gram staining.
- Dipstick analysis: Tested for nitrites and leukocyte esterase using DIRUI H11-MA strips.
- Urine culture: Cultured on blood agar and MacConkey agar, incubated at 35-37°C for 48 hours.
- Significant growth: ≥100,000 CFU/ml, or 10,000– 50,000 CFU/ml of a single organism.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 23. Results were presented as means, standard deviations, and categorical variables. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used for comparisons, with $p \leq 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

This study of 100 febrile children (mean age 6 ± 2.6 years; 69% aged 3-6, 31% aged 7-12) showed equal gender distribution. Common diagnoses were upper respiratory tract infection (38%), gastroenteritis (30%), and unknown (32%). Symptoms included vomiting (35%), cough/cold (35%), abdominal pain (31%), and decreased oral intake (9%). Urine analysis revealed 12% positive cultures, predominantly E. Coli (75%). Antibiotic sensitivity was highest for Ceftriaxone and Cephotaxime (75%), with resistance highest for Ampicillin (58.3%) and Cortimaxozole (50%). As in table 1.

Variable	No.	%
Age (mean ± SD)	6±2.6 years	
3-6 years	69	69.0
>6-12 years	31	31.0
Gender (Male/Female)	50/50	50.0/50.0
Upper respiratory tract infection	38	38.0
Gastroenteritis	30	30.0
Unknown Diagnosis	32	32.0
Vomiting	35	35.0
Convulsions	8	8.0
Cough and Cold	35	35.0
Loose stool	30	30.0
Abdominal pain	31	31.0
Decreased Oral Intake	9	9.0
Increased Frequency	29	29.0
Dysuria	29	29.0
Urgency	4	4.0
Decreased Urine Output	13	13.0
Secondary Enuresis	2	2.0
Dribbling of Urine	10	10.0
Circumcision	37/50	74.0
WBC/HPF (≥10)	35	35.0
Bacteria (Positive)	15	15.0
Dipstick Nitrite Test (Positive)	20	20.0
Dipstick Leukocyte Esterase (Positive)	13	13.0

L

Table 1: distribution of patients according to study variables.

L

ESR (High)	18	18.0
CRP (Positive)	31	31.0
Urine Culture (Positive)	12	12.0
Urine Culture (Negative)	88	88.0
E. Coli	9	75.0
Non E. Coli (Proteus, Klebsiella, Enterococcus)	3	25.0
Ampicillin (Sensitive)	5	41.7
Ampicillin (Resistant)	7	58.3
Cotrimoxazole (Sensitive)	6	50.0
Cotrimoxazole (Resistant)	6	50.0
Gentamycin (Sensitive)	8	66.7
Gentamycin (Resistant)	4	33.3
Cephalexin (Sensitive)	8	66.7
Cephalexin (Resistant)	4	33.3
Ceftriaxone (Sensitive)	9	75.0
Ceftriaxone (Resistant)	3	25.0
Cefotaxime (Sensitive)	9	75.0
Cefotaxime (Resistant)	3	25.0
Ciprofloxacin (Sensitive)	8	66.7
Ciprofloxacin (Resistant)	4	33.3

No significant differences were observed between febrile children with positive urine culture and febrile children with negative urine culture regarding their age (p=0.8) and gender (p=0.5). (Table 2)

Table 2: Distribution of sociodemographic characteristics according to urine culture.

		Urine o			
Variable	Positive		Negative		P-value
	No.	%	No.	%	
	0.6*				
3-6 years	8	66.7	61	69.3	0.8* Not significant
>6-12 years	4	33.3	27	30.7	noi significani
	0.5**				
Male	5	41.7	45	51.1	U.J** Not significant
Female	7	58.3	43	48.9	Ivoi significani

*Fishers exact test, **Chi-square test.

No significant differences were observed between febrile children with positive urine culture and febrile children with negative urine culture regarding clinical diagnosis (p=0.8). (*Table 3*).

Table 3: Distribution of clinical diagnosis according to urine culture.

		Urine o			
Variable	Pos	itive	Neg	ative	P- value
	No.	%	No.	%	
Clinical diagnosis					
Upper respiratory tract infection	3	25.0	35	39.8	0.5**
Gastroenteritis	5	41.7	25	28.4	Not significant
Unknown	4	33.3	28	31.8	

*Fishers exact test.

No significant differences were observed between febrile children with positive urine culture and febrile children with negative urine culture regarding vomiting (p=0.4), cough and cold (p=0.4) and loose stool (p=0.1). There was a significant association between febrile children with positive urine culture and convulsions (p=0.02). (*Table 4*).

L

I

		Urine	cultur				
Variable	Positive		Negative		P- value		
	No.	%	No.	%			
Vomiting		0.4*					
Yes	3	25.0	32	36.4	0.4* Not significant		
No	9	75.0	56	63.6	Ivoi significani		
Convulsio	ns						
Yes	3	25.0	5	5.7	0.02* Significant		
No	9	75.0	83	94.3			
Cough an	d Cold	l			0.4*		
Yes	3	25.0	32	36.4	0.4* Not significant		
No	9	75.0	56	63.6	Not significant		
Loose stoe	bl	0.1*					
Yes	6	50.0	24	27.3	U.1* Not significant		
No	6	75.0	64	72.7			

Table 4: Distribution of clinical presentation according to urine culture.

*Fishers exact test.

No significant differences were observed between febrile children with positive urine culture and febrile children with negative urine culture regarding increase frequency (p=0.7) and urgency (p=0.4). There was a significant association between abdominal pain and positive urine

culture of febrile children (p=0.004) and between Dysuria and positive urine culture of febrile children (p=0.01). A highly significant association was observed between decreased Oral intake and positive urine culture of febrile children (p<0.001). (*Table 5*).

Table	5.	Distribution	٥f	clinical	nresentation	according t	o urine	culture
Lanc	J.	DISTIDUTION	UI.	umitai	presentation	according t	o ur me	cunule.

Variable	Pos	Positive Negative			P-value
	No.	%	No.	%	
A	bdom	0.004*			
Yes	8	66.7	23	26.1	0.004* Significant
No	4	33.3	65	73.9	Significani
Deci	reased	Oral i	ntake		<0.001** U;ahh
Yes	5	41.7	4	4.5	<0.001 Highly
No	7	58.3	84	95.5	significani
Inc	crease	Frequ	ency		0.7*
Yes	3	25.0	26	29.5	0.7* Not significant
No	9	75.0	62	70.5	Noi significani
	Dy	suria			
Yes	7	58.3	22	25.0	0.01* Significant
No	5	41.7	66	75.0	
	Urg	0.4*			
Yes	1	8.3	3	3.4	U.4 · Not significant
No	11	91.7	85	96.6	noi significani

*Fishers exact test.

No significant differences were observed between febrile children with positive urine culture and febrile children with negative urine culture regarding decrease UOP (p=0.1), secondary enuresis (p=0.09), dribbling of urine (p=0.8) and circumcision (p=0.4). (*Table 6*).

Table 6: Distribution of clinical presentation according to urine culture	Table	e 6:]	Distribution	of clinical	presentation	according t	o urine culture
---	-------	--------	--------------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-----------------

		0						
	e							
P- value	Negative		Positive		Variable			
	%	No.	%	No.				
0.1*	Decrease UOP							
0.1* Not significant	11.4	10	25.0	3	Yes			
Not significant	88.6	78	75.0	9	No			
0.09*	Secondary Enuresis							
Not significant	1.1	1	8.3	1	Yes			

L

I

	98.9	87	91.7	11	No			
0.8*	Dribbling of Urine							
0.0 ⁺ Not significant	10.2	9	8.3	1	Yes			
Noi significani	89.8	79	91.7	11	No			
0.4*	Circumcision							
0.4* Not significant	75.6	34	60.0	3	Yes			
woi significani	24.4	11	40.0	2	No			

*Fishers exact test.

A highly significant association was observed between increased WBC/HPF and positive urine culture of febrile children (p<0.001). There was a significant association between positive bacteria in urine and positive urine culture of febrile children (p=0.006). A highly significant association was observed between positive Dipstick Nitrite test and positive urine culture of febrile children (p<0.001). There was a highly significant association between positive Dipstick Leukocyte esterase test and positive urine culture of febrile children (p<0.001). Febrile children with high ESR and positive CRP were significantly associated with positive urine culture of febrile children. (*Table 7*).

Table 7: Distribution of investigation	s findings according to urine culture.
--	--

		Urine	cultur				
Variable	Pos	itive	Neg	ative	P- value		
	No.	%	No.	%			
WBC/HP	IF				<0.001*		
<10	2	16.7	63	71.6	<0.001* Highly significant		
≥10	10	83.3	25	28.4	nigniy significani		
Bacteria							
Positive	5	41.7	10	11.4	0.006* Significant		
Negative	7	58.3	78	88.6			
Dipstick N	litrite	test			-0.001*		
Positive	9	75.0	11	12.5	<0.001* Highly significant		
Negative	3	25.0	77	87.5	nigniy signijicani		
Dipstick I	eukoo	eyte est	erase		<0.001*		
Positive	8	66.7	5	5.7	<0.001* Highly significant		
Negative	4	33.3	83	94.3	nigniy significani		
ESR							
Normal	6	50.0	76	86.4	0.002* Significant		
High	6	50.0	12	13.6			
CRP							
Positive	7	58.3	24	27.3	0.02* Significant		
Negative	5	41.7	64	72.7			

*Fishers exact test.

DISCUSSION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the second most common bacterial infection in children, following respiratory tract infections, with nearly one-third experiencing recurrent UTIs within a year.^[8] Symptoms vary between younger and older children.^[9] In this study, the prevalence of UTI detected by urine culture among febrile preschool and school-age children was 12%. This is lower than the 43.3% prevalence reported by Saeed et al.^[10] in Iraq, possibly due to differences in sample size, cultural factors, and study design. However, our findings are consistent with the 11.28% prevalence reported by Kazeminezhad et al.^[11] in Iran. Compared to other studies, our prevalence is higher than Singh and Prihar's (6.36%) in Pakistan^[12] but lower than Naik and Venkatesha's (14%) in India.^[13] Although no significant differences were found regarding age and gender, UTI prevalence increased with age, aligning with Shaikh et al.^[14] in the USA. Female predominance is welldocumented^[13,15], likely due to shorter urethras and bacterial translocation. UTI prevalence varies by age: 0.1–0.4% in infant girls, increasing to 1.4% (1–5 years) and 0.7-2.3% (school age).^[16] Among males, circumcision reduces UTI prevalence (0.2% in circumcised vs. 0.7% in uncircumcised infants).^[17] In our study, 13.1% of febrile 3-6-year-olds and 14.8% of febrile 7-12-year-olds had positive cultures, supporting findings from Conway et al. (6.2% UTI risk in children <6 years)^[18] and Singh et al. (7.8% in older children with urinary symptoms).^[19] Regarding causative organisms, E. coli was the most common (75%), with higher antibiotic sensitivity to ceftriaxone and cefotaxime, while ampicillin and cotrimoxazole showed high resistance, consistent with Saeed et al.^[9] in Iraq and Abuhandan et al.^[20] in Turkey. Differences in antibiotic resistance across studies may be due to variations in prescribing

practices and community antibiotic use. A significant association was found between febrile children with positive urine culture and convulsions (p=0.02), consistent with Mahyar et al.^[21] in Iran, which linked UTI to febrile seizures. However, convulsions can also occur due to epilepsy, hypoglycemia, and electrolyte disturbances.^[22] Significant associations were also found between UTI and abdominal pain (p=0.004), aligning with Ibeneme et al.^[23] in Nigeria and Robinson et al.^[2] in Canada. However, abdominal pain can have other causes, including gastrointestinal, cardiac, and metabolic disorders.^[25] Decreased oral intake (p<0.001) was another key finding, corroborating Zorc et al.^[26] in the USA and Bindu and Rai^[27] in India. Dysuria (p=0.01) was also significantly associated with UTI, consistent with Copp and Schmidt^[28] in the USA and Al-Rikabi and Abu-Raghif^[29] in Iraq. Regarding laboratory findings, a highly significant association was observed between WBC/HPF and positive urine culture (p<0.001), aligning with Ashoka et al.^[30] in India, which found pyuria >10 WBC/HPF to be predictive of UTI. Bacteriuria (p=0.006) was also significantly associated with positive urine culture, as reported by Tsai et al.^[31] in Taiwan. Among dipstick tests, positive nitrite (p<0.001) and leukocyte esterase (p<0.001) tests were significantly associated with UTI, aligning with Glissmeyer et al.^[32] and Tzimenatos et al.^[33] in the USA. Furthermore, elevated ESR and CRP were significantly linked to UTI, similar to findings by Ayazi et al.^{([34]} in Iran, which suggested that acute-phase reactants assist in UTI diagnosis but are not definitive.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of urinary tract infection (UTI) among febrile children increases with age and is more common in females. Common symptoms include convulsions, abdominal pain, decreased oral intake, and dysuria. E. coli is the most frequent bacterial cause, followed by non-E. coli species like Proteus and Klebsiella. Antibiotic sensitivity is highest for Ceftriaxone and Cephotaxime, with resistance observed for Ampicillin and Cortimaxozole. Key diagnostic tools include WBC/HPF, bacteriuria, Dipstick Nitrite, Leukocyte esterase tests, ESR, and CRP.

REFERENCES

- 1. Colin D, Abraham M, Margaret K, editors. Urinary Tract Infection. Rudolph's Pediatrics. 21st ed. McGraw-Hill, 2003; 1667-73.
- 2. Beherman EB, Kligman RM, Jenson HB. Urinary Tract Infection. Nelson Essentials of Pediatrics. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders, 2011.
- Hoberman A, Charron M, Hickey RW, Baskin M, Kearney DH, Wald ER. Imaging studies after a first febrile urinary tract infection in young children. N Engl J Med., 2003; 348: 195.
- 4. Jeena PM, Coovadia HM, Adhikari M. Probable association between urinary tract infections (UTI) and common diseases of infancy and childhood: a

hospital-based study of UTI in Durban, South Africa. J Trop Pediatr, 1996; 42(2): 112-4.

- 5. Downs SM. Technical report: urinary tract infections in febrile infants and young children. The Urinary Tract Subcommittee of the American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Quality Improvement. Pediatrics, 1999; 103(4): e54.
- 6. Arshad M, Seed PC. Urinary tract infections in the infant. Clin Perinatol, 2015; 42: 17-28.
- Elder JS. Urinary tract infection. In: Kligman RM, Beherman EB, Stanton BF, editors. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 19th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 2011; 1829-34.
- 8. O'Brien K, Stanton N, Edwards A, Hood K, Butler CC. Prevalence of urinary tract infection (UTI) in sequential acutely unwell children presenting in primary care: exploratory study. Scand J Prim Health Care, 2011; 29: 19–22.
- Stein R, Dogan HS, Hoebeke P, Kočvara R, Nijman RJ, Radmayr C, et al. Urinary tract infections in children: EAU/ESPU guidelines. Eur Urol, 2015; 67(3): 546-58.
- 10. Saeed CH, AL-Otraqchi KB, Mansoor IY. Prevalence of urinary tract infections and antibiotics susceptibility pattern among infants and young children in Erbil city. Zanco J Med Sci., 2015; 19(1): 915-22.
- Kazeminezhad B, Taghinejad H, Borji M, Seymohammadi R. Evaluation of the Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection in Children with Febrile Seizure. J Compr Ped, 2018; 9(3): e62557.
- 12. Singh S, Parihar S. Prevalence of urinary tract infection in febrile preschool children. Int J Contemp Pediatr, 2019; 6: 320-4.
- 13. Naik RR, Venkatesha KR. Incidence of urinary tract infection in febrile children. Int J Contemp Pediatr, 2019; 6: 765-8.
- Shaikh N, Morone NE, Bost JE, Farrell MH. Prevalence of urinary tract infection in childhood: a meta-analysis. Pediatr Infect Dis J., 2008; 27(4): 302-8.
- 15. Lee K-Y. New Insights for Febrile Urinary Tract Infection (Acute Pyelonephritis) in Children. Child Kidney Dis., 2016; 20(2): 37-44.
- 16. Shaikh N. Acute urinary tract infection in infants and young children. CMAJ., 2010; 182(8): 800-1.
- 17. Clark CJ, Kennedy WA, Shortliffe LD. Urinary tract infection in children: when to worry. Urol Clin North Am. 2010; 37(2): 229-41.
- Conway PH, Cnaan A, Zaoutis T, Henry BV, Grundmeier RW, Keren R. Recurrent urinary tract infections in children: risk factors and association with prophylactic antimicrobials. JAMA, 2007; 298(2): 179-86.
- Shaikh N, Morone NE, Bost JE, Farrell MH. Prevalence of urinary tract infection in childhood: a meta-analysis. Pediatr Infect Dis J., 2008; 27(4): 302-8.
- 20. Abuhandan M, Güzel B, Oymak Y, Çiftçi H. Antibiotic sensitivity and resistance in children with

urinary tract infection in Sanliurfa. Turk J Urol., 2013; 39(2): 106-10.

- Mahyar A, Ayazi P, Azimi E, Dalirani R, Barikani A, Esmaeily S. The relation between urinary tract infection and febrile seizure. Iran J Child Neurol, 2018; 12(4): 120–6.
- Khair AM, Elmagrabi D. Febrile seizures and febrile seizure syndromes: An updated overview of old and current knowledge. Neurol Res Int., 2015; ID 849341: 7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/849341.
- Ibeneme CA, Oguonu T, Okafor HU, Ikefuna AN, Ozumba UC. Urinary tract infection in febrile underfive children in Enugu, South Eastern Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract, 2014; 17: 624-8.
- 24. Robinson JL, Finlay JC, Lang ME, Bortolussi R; Canadian Paediatric Society, Infectious Diseases and Immunization Committee, Community Paediatrics Committee. Urinary tract infections in infants and children: Diagnosis and management. Paediatr Child Health, 2014; 19(6): 315–25.
- 25. Kim JS. Acute abdominal pain in children. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr., 2013; 16(4): 219–24.
- Zorc JJ, Kiddoo DA, Shaw KN. Diagnosis and management of pediatric urinary tract infections. Clin Microbiol Rev., 2005; 18(2): 417–22.
- 27. Nair BT, Rai AK. Prevalence of urinary tract infection in febrile children <2 years of age. Sahel Med J., 2018; 21: 47-51.
- Schmidt B, Copp HL. Work-up of pediatric urinary tract infection. Urol Clin North Am., 2015; 42(4): 519–26.
- 29. Al Rikabi AAH, Abu Raghif LF. Screening program for urinary tract infection of prepubertal children at Al Nasiriya City primary schools. Iraqi Natl J Nurs Spec, 2016; 29(1): 35-44.
- 30. Ashoka C, Kumar GV, Ananda Kumar TS, Viswanatha Kumar HM. Study of culture and sensitivity pattern of urinary tract infection in febrile preschool children in a tertiary care hospital. Int J Contemp Pediatr, 2016; 3: 1032-6.
- Tsai a J-D, Lin C-C, Yang SS. Diagnosis of pediatric urinary tract infections. Urological Science, 2016; 27: 131-134.
- 32. Glissmeyer EW, Korgenski EK, Wilkes J, Schunk JE, Sheng X, Blaschke AJ, et al. Dipstick screening for urinary tract infection in febrile infants. Pediatrics, 2014; 133(5): e1121–e1127.
- Tzimenatos L, Mahajan P, Dayan PS, et al. Accuracy of the Urinalysis for Urinary Tract Infections in Febrile Infants 60 Days and Younger. Pediatrics, 2018; 141(2): e20173068.
- 34. Ayazi P, Mahyar A, Daneshi MM, JahaniHashemi H, Pirouzi M, Esmailzadehha N. Diagnostic Accuracy of the Quantitative C-Reactive Protein, Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate and White Blood Cell Count in Urinary Tract Infections among Infants and Children. Malays J Med Sci., 2013; 20(5): 40–46.