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## INTRODUCTION

According to the 1999 World Health Organization-International Society of Hypertension Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension, hypertension is defined as a systolic blood pressure of 140 mmHg or greater and/or a diastolic blood pressure of 90 mmHg or greater in subjects who are not taking antihypertensive medication. ${ }^{[1]}$ For subjects with diabetes mellitus, end organ damage or metabolic syndrome, blood pressure levels of 130/80 mmHg or greater are defined as hypertension. ${ }^{[2,3]}$

## Epidemiology

Hypertension is an important public health challenge, which affects approximately one billion persons worldwide. ${ }^{[4]}$ Because of increasing rates of obesity and aging of the population, hypertension has projected to affect 1.5 billion persons, one third of the world population, by year 2025. ${ }^{[5,6]}$ Each year at least 9.4 million people die as a consequence of hypertension. ${ }^{[7]}$ The overall average prevalence of hypertension in the world was estimated as $35 \%$ ( $37 \%$ in men and $31 \%$ in women). ${ }^{[8]}$

## Risk Factors for Hypertension

- Age
- Gender
- Race
- Family history
- Increase salt intake
- Physical inactivity
- Alcohol consumption
- Smoking
- Obesity
- Diet
- Diabetes mellitus
- Hypercholesterolemia
- Sleep duration
- Stress


## Complications ${ }^{[9]}$

Elevated blood pressure results in structural and functional changes in the vasculature and heart. Complications include

- Hypertensive Cardiovascular Disease
- Hypertensive Cerebrovascular Disease and Dementia
- Hypertensive Kidney Disease
- Aortic Dissection
- Atherosclerotic Complications


## Aim of The Study

The aim of this study is to evaluate the main risk factors of hypertension in patients attending the outpatient clinics at Ibn Sena Teaching Hospital in Mosul.

## Specific Objective

- To describe sociodemographic characteristics of the study population.
- To study the main risk factors of hypertension in the study population.
- To determine the relationship between high blood pressure and the identified associated risk factors in the adult population


## Patients and methods Study setting

The present study was conducted in the medical outpatient clinics for internal medicine at Ibn Sena teaching hospital in Mosul city.

## Study design

Case control design was adopted in order to achieve the objectives of the present study. ${ }^{[10]}$

## Study Period

It has been planned to collect data during six months period from $1^{\text {st }}$ of October 2013 to the $1^{\text {st }}$ of April 2014.

## Study sample

The present study included 100 adult patients who attended the outpatient consultation clinics at Ibn Sena teaching hospital and diagnosed to have HTN, and 100 control subjects who attended the same consultation clinics, in whom evaluation proved not to have HTN

## Data collection tool

A questionnaire form was specially prepared in order to collect all the relevant information related to the study
sample. the questionnaire contain detailed history of Age, gender, risk factors for HTN.

## RESULTS

Figure (3.1) shows the age distribution of cases of HTN. It's clear from the figure that $25 \%, 35 \%$ of the cases were in the age group 49-58 years and $\geq 59$ years respectively.


Figure (3.1): Age distribution of 100 cases with HTN.

### 3.1 The socio-demographic characteristics and

 occurrence of HTNThis study revealed that the highest frequency of HTN was found in the age group $\geq 59$ years [ 35 patients ( $35 \%$ )] and the lowest frequency of HTN was observed in the age group18-28 years [6 patients (6\%)]. The differences in most of the age groups were statistically significant as shown in table (3.1).

More over table (3.1) also showed that HTN was more frequently seen among males than females ( $63 \% \& 37$ \% respectively), and ( $75 \%$ ) of HTN cases were from urban areas in comparison to about ( $25 \%$ ) from rural area. The study showed that $62 \%$ of cases and $78 \%$ of controls were married. The rest were either single,
divorced or widowed. Also showed that HTN was more frequently seen among widowed $25 \%$ of cases $12 \%$ of control $(p=0.018)$.

Regarding educational status it is clear from the table that $26 \%$ and $24 \%$ of the cases had university education and illiterateness respectively compared to $45 \%$ and $7 \%$ among the controls group respectively, the difference was statistically significant $\quad(p=0.005,0.001)$ respectively.

More over the table showed that $53 \%$ and $38 \%$ of cases and controls respectively were non employed, the difference was statistically significant $(p=0.033)$.

Table (3.1): The socio demographic characteristics and occurrence of HTN.

| Age group (years) | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | $\mathbf{P}^{*}$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | No | \% | No |  |
| 18-28 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 18 | 0.009 |
| 29-38 | 10 | 10 | 29 | 29 | 0.001 |
| 39-48 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 0.495 |
| 49-58 | 25 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 0.031 |
| $\leq 59$ | 35 | 35 | 20 | 20 | 0.018 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 63 | 63 | 46 | 46 | 0.016 |
| Female | 37 | 37 | 54 | 54 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 75 | 75 | 62 | 62 | 0.048 |
| Rural | 25 | 25 | 38 | 38 |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |


| Single | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 0.194 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Married | 62 | 62 | 78 | 78 | 0.014 |  |
| Divorced | 10 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0.045 |  |
| Widowed | 25 | 25 | 12 | 12 | 0.018 |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 24 | 24 | 7 | 7 | 0.001 |  |
| Primary | 30 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 0.011 |  |
| Intermediate | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 0.285 |  |
| Secondary | 10 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 0.103 |  |
| University | 26 | 26 | 45 | 45 | 0.005 |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment | 21 | 21 | 35 | 35 | 0.027 |  |
| Non employment | 53 | 53 | 38 | 38 | 0.033 |  |
| Private | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1.000 |  |
| Retired | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 0.852 |  |
| Student | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.000 |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used

Risk factors of HTN among the study population Family history and the occurrence of HTN
Table (3.2) illustrates the association between family history of hypertension and the occurrence of HTN, the
table showed that $72 \%$ of the cases gave a positive family history of HTN compared to only $33 \%$ among the controls.

Table (3.2) Family history of hypertension and the occurrence of HTN.

| Family history of HTN | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ (\mathbf{n}=100) \end{gathered}$ |  | Controls$(\mathrm{n}=100)$ |  | OR | 95\% CI for (OR) | $\mathbf{P}^{*}$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| Present | 72 | 72 | 33 | 33 | 5.221 | 2.862-9.525 | 0.0001 |
| Absent | 28 | 28 | 67 | 67 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\quad \mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## Increase salt intake and the occurrence of HTN.

History of high salt intake equal to $5 \mathrm{gm} /$ day or more was reported in $40 \%$ of the cases and $24 \%$ of the controls. More over table (3.3) depicts that there is
association between the occurrence of HTN and high salt intake ( $\mathrm{OR}=2.111, ~ 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.152-3.867$ ) and the difference is statistically significant $(\mathrm{P}=0.015)$.

Table (3.3) high salt intake and the occurrence of HTN.

| Salt intake | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | OR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \mathrm{CI} \\ & \text { For(OR) } \end{aligned}$ | P*-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| $\leq 5 \mathrm{gm} /$ day | 40 | 40 | 24 | 24 | 2.111 | 1.152-3.867 | 0.015 |
| $>5 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{day}$ | 60 | 60 | 76 | 76 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## Physical inactivity and the occurrence of HTN

Physical inactivity was reported by $63 \%$ of cases compared to only $40 \%$ of the controls see table (3.4). Also this table clarifies a significant association between
physical inactivity and the occurrence of HTN ( $\mathrm{OR}=2.554,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.447-4.507$ ) and the difference is statistically significant $(\mathrm{P}=0.001)$.

Table (3.4): Physical inactivity and the occurrence of HTN.

| Physical inactivity | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | OR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \text { CI } \\ & \text { For(OR) } \end{aligned}$ | P*-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| > 150 min /week | 63 | 63 | 40 | 40 | 2.554 | 1.447-4.507 | 0.001 |
| $\leq 150 \mathrm{~min} /$ week | 37 | 37 | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## Alcohol consumption and the occurrence of HTN.

It is clear from table (3.5) that only one of the cases found to consumpt alcohol, no one found to be alcoholic among the controls group.

Table (3.5): Alcohol consumption and the occurrence of HTN.

| Alcohol consumption | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | OR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 95 \% \text { CI } \\ \text { For(OR) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{P}^{*}$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| Present | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Inf | 0.260_ Inf | 0.316 |
| Absent | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## Smoking and the occurrence of HTN

This study showed the majorty of cases $31 \%$ and controls $61 \%$ were non smokers, $28 \%$ of cases and $11 \%$ of controls were smokers, $24 \%$ of cases and $12 \%$ of controls were ex smokers. The table (3.6) shows that non
smoking plays a protective effect against hypertension ( $\mathrm{OR}=0.287,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.161-0.514, \mathrm{P}=0.0001$ ), while smoker and ex smoker (OR= 3.146, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.482$ 6.668, $\mathrm{p}=0.002, \quad \mathrm{OR}=2.316,95 \% \mathrm{CI} 1.096-4.883$, $\mathrm{p}=0.027$ respectively) seems to be a risk factor.

Table (3.6) Smoking habit and the occurrence of HTN.

| Smoking Habit | Cases (n=100) |  | Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | OR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \text { CI } \\ & \text { For(OR) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { P*- } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| Non smoker | 31 | 31 | 61 | 61 | 0.287 | 0.161-0.514 | 0.0001 |
| Smoker | 28 | 28 | 11 | 11 | 3.146 | 1.482-6.668 | 0.002 |
| Ex smoker | 24 | 24 | 12 | 12 | 2.316 | 1.096-4.883 | 0.027 |
| Passive smoker | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 1.07 | 0.515-2.247 | 0.849 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## Obesity and the occurrence of HTN

Regarding obesity table (3.7) reveals that normal BMI had protective effect against HTN (OR=0.17, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ $0.09-0.34, \mathrm{P}=0.0001$ ), also shows significant association of over weight and obesity with the
occurrence of HTN (OR=2.00, 95\%CI=1.097 - 3.64, $\mathrm{OR}=2.45,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.35-4.45$ respectively) and the difference is statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}=0.024,0.003$ ) respectively

Table (3.7): Obesity and the occurrence of HTN.

| BMI | Cases (n=100) |  |  | Controls (n=100) |  |  | 95\% CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P*- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NO | \% | \% | OR | For(OR) | Value |  |
| $<25$ | 15 | 15 | 50 | 50 | 0.17 | $0.09-0.34$ | 0.0001 |
| $25-29.9$ | 40 | 40 | 25 | 25 | 2.00 | $1.097-3.64$ | 0.024 |
| $\geq 30$ | 45 | 45 | 25 | 25 | 2.45 | $1.35-4.45$ | 0.003 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## Diet and the occurrence of HTN

Table (3.8) shows that $75 \%$ of the cases were daily intake of vegetables and fruits compared to $78 \%$ of the control group. The table show no association between daily intake of vegetables and fruits and the development of HTN ( $\mathrm{OR}=1.18,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.61-2.26$ ), the difference found to be statistically not significant $(\mathrm{P}=0.617)$.

Table (3.8): Diet and the occurrence of HTN.

| Vegetables and fruits intake | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  |  |  | OR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 95 \% \text { CI } \\ \text { For(OR) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | P*-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| Daily | 75 | 75 | 78 | 78 | 1.18 | 0.61-2.26 | 0.617 |
| Not daily | 25 | 25 | 22 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used

History of Diabetes mellitus and the occurrence of HTN.
Table (3.9), demonstrates that there is possible association between the occurrence of HTN and Diabetes
mellitus ( $\mathrm{OR}=1.71, \quad 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.749-3.919$ ) but the difference is statistically not significant $(\mathrm{P}=0.207)$.

Table(3.9): History of DM and the occurrence of HTN.

| History of DM | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  |  |  | OR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \text { CI } \\ & \text { For(OR) } \end{aligned}$ | P*-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| Present | 16 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 1.714 | 0.749-3.919 | 0.207 |
| Absent? | 84 | 84 | 90 | 90 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## History of hypercholesterolemia and the occurrence of HTN

Forty eight among the cases group reported a positive history of hypercholesterolemia, on the other hand 26 controls reported the same factor. Table (3.10) signifies a
significant association between the occurrence of HTN with the hypercholesterolemia ( $\mathrm{OR}=2.62,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.45$ 4.74) and the difference is statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ ).

Table(3.10): History of hypercholesterolemia and the occurrence of HTN.

| Hypercholesterolemia | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | OR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \text { CI } \\ & \text { For(OR) } \end{aligned}$ | P*-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| Present | 48 | 48 | 26 | 26 | 2.62 | 1.45-4.74 | 0.001 |
| Absent | 52 | 52 | 74 | 74 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $x^{2}$-test was used


## Sleep duration and the occurrence of HTN.

Table (3.11) demonstrates that there is no association between the occurrence of HTN and sleep duration $<7$
hours/day ( $\mathrm{OR}=1.18, \quad 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.61-2.29$ ) and the difference is statistically not significant $(\mathrm{P}=0.611)$.

Table (3.11): Sleep duration and the occurrence of HTN.

| Sleep duration | Cases ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | Controls ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) |  | OR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \text { CI } \\ & \text { For(OR) } \end{aligned}$ | P*-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | \% | NO | \% |  |  |  |
| > 7 hours/day | 24 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 1.18 | 0.61-2.29 | 0.611 |
| 7-9 hours/day | 68 | 68 | 72 | 72 | 0.82 | 0.45-1.15 | 0.537 |
| <9 hours/day | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 1.15 | 0.41-3.19 | 0.788 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{x}^{2}$-test was used


## CONCLUSIONS

1. The highest frequency of hypertension occurred in the age group $\geq 59$ years, HTN affect males more than females.
2. The higher prevalence of HTN is in urban residence, low level of education and illiterate, in retired and none employed, in widow and divorced people.
3. The significant risk factors for HTN were family history of HTN, increase salt intake, physical inactivity, smokers and ex smoker's, hypercholesterolemia, over weight and obesity

## Recommendations

1. Care givers have to encourage the population for essential life style changes including adopting regular physical activity, controlling proper body
weight, following a proper dietary regimen and quitting smoking and alcohol.
2. Physicians have to activate their health educational role towards hypertensive patients in clarifying the nature of disease risk factors and its consequences.
3. Further large scale studies are recommended in the future to determine all risk factors of hypertension.
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