

WORLD JOURNAL OF ADVANCE HEALTHCARE RESEARCH

Original Article

ISSN: 2457-0400 Volume: 6. Issue: 5. Page N. 92-96 Year: 2022

www.wjahr.com

CORRELATION BETWEEN MENINGIOMA GRADING AND PATIENT'S AGE, GENDER AND LOCATION OF TUMOR

¹*Dr. Sahar Jaafar Khraibet, ²Prof. Dr. Hussam Hasson Ali

¹MBChB, Final Year Residant of Arab Board of Histopathology, Medical City, Teaching Laboratories. Baghdad, Iraq. ²Iraqi Committee of Medical Specialization. Baghdad Iraq.

Received date: 09 March 2022	Revised date: 30 March 2022	Accepted date: 20 April 2022

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Sahar Jaafar Khraibet

MBChB, Final Year Residant of Arab Board of Histopathology, Medical City, Teaching Laboratories. Baghdad, Iraq.

ABSTRACT

Meningiomas are usually slow growing brain tumors deriving from arachnoid cap cells. They are the most frequently diagnosed benign primary brain tumor accounting for 33.8% of all primary brain and central nervous system tumors. The aim of this analysis was to investigate the relationship of patient's age, gender, meningioma location with WHO grade and potential risk factors for tumor recurrence. Methods: Cross sectional retrospective study for 308 patients diagnosed as brain Meningiomas, computerize archived data collected from Ghazy Al-hariri hospital for surgical specialties, teaching laboratories, Private Nursing Home hospital and Neurosurgical teaching hospital ,Baghdad, Iraq, covering the period from January 2018 to February 2022. The variables of study are: age of patients, gender, location of Meningiomas, and grading of it. Results: Cross section study of 308 patient, 146 (53.2%) of them are at age group 40-59 (middle age group). 229 (74.4%) of patients are females, 132 (42.9%) of patients are skull base tumor location and the rest are non-base. 268 (87%) of patients are at grade 1 tumor, 38 (12.3%) of them are at grade 2. 140 (45.45%) of patients with convexity brain Meningiomas, 86 (27.92%) patients with anterior fossa brain Meningiomas, 31 (10%) patients with posterior fossa brain Meningiomas. There is significant correlation between grade of tumor and gender of patients. There is no significant correlation between grade of tumor and age groups of patients, location of tumor. Conclusion: Brain Meningiomas occur more in females at age group 40-59 years old, most patients are at grade 1, convexity brain Meningiomas is more common site of tumor, there is correlation between tumor grade 1, 2 and females gender. While grade 3 more occur in male gender.

KEYWORDS: Correlation, Meningioma grading, patient's age, gender, location of tumor.

INTRODUCTION

Meningiomas are usually slow growing brain tumors deriving from arachnoid cap cells. They are the most frequently diagnosed benign primary brain tumor accounting for 33.8% of all primary brain and central nervous system tumors.^[1,2] Prevalence rates for range 50.4/100,000 meningiomas from to 70.7/100,000.^[3,4] The incidence rate for meningioma in Iraq is 0.15 and 0.25 per 100,000 population for males and females, respectively.^[5] Meningiomas can occur at many sites that reduce them agreeable to microsurgical elimination.^[5,6] The most common meningiomas are WHO grade I and have a low risk of recurrence. However, atypical meningiomas classified as WHO grade II exhibit increased mitotic activity and have a higher recurrence rate (up to 40% at 5 years).^[5,7,8] Anaplastic meningiomas are malignant tumors (WHO

grade III) with a very high rate of recurrence and the 5 year progression free survival (PFS) is only 10%.^[9] WHO classification system has included brain invasion as a controversial feature for the diagnosis of atypical meningiomas, the reported incidence of atypical meningioma increased from 7% to 20-30%, due to reclassifying of grade I cases as grade Π meningiomas.^[10] Unfortunately, no imaging criteria are accepted to preoperatively differentiate between different WHO grades of intracranial meningiomas. Thus, uncertainty persists regarding which patient should be operated on early versus followed with MR imaging. Thus, beside patient related factors, meningioma size, location, extent of peritumoral edema, the assumed extent of resection and the potential surgical morbidity have implications for patients counselling, as well as patient's management and outcome^[5] Diagnosis of

meningioma is usually based on examination of Hematoxylin and Eosin (H & E) sections; however, some problematic cases need further confirmation by immunohistochemistry. Despite epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) and progesterone receptor (PR) were used routinely for these cases, they proved to lack sensitivity and specificity, so a new sensitive and specific marker was needed.^[11,12] Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the relationship of patient's age, gender, meningioma location with WHO grade and potential risk factors for tumor recurrence.

METHOD

Cross sectional retrospective study for 308 patients diagnosed as brain Meningiomas, computerize archived data collected from Ghazy Al-hariri hospital for surgical specialities, teaching laboratories, Private Nursing Home hospital and Neurosurgical teaching hospital, covering the period from January 2018 to February 2022. The variables of study are; age of patients, gender, location of Meningiomas, and grading of it.

Statistical analysis done by SPSS 22, frequency and percentage used for categorical data, mean, median and SD for continuous data. Chi-square used for assessed association between variables, person correlation shows the correlation between continuous data. P-value less or equal to 0.05 is consider significant.

RESULTS

Cross section study of 308 patients mean age is (37.3 ± 13.8) , 146 (53.2%) of patients at age group 40-59 (middle age group). 229 (74.4%) of patients are females, 132 (42.9%) of patients are skull base tumor location and the rest are non-base. 268 (87%) of patients are at grade 1 tumor, 38 (12.3%) of them are at grade 2. As show in table 1.

Table	1:	Distribution	of	age,	gender,	location	and
grade	of p	patients studie	ed.				

Variables		frequency	percentage
Age	<39	74	24.0
	40-59	164	53.2
	>=60	70	22.7
Gender	female	229	74.4
	male	79	25.6
Location	nonskull base	176	57.1
	skull base	132	42.9
Grade	1	268	87.0
	2	38	12.3
	3	2	0.6

According to fig 1. The distribution of tumor location in details as the following; 140 (45.45%) of patients with convexity brain Meningiomas, 86 (27.92%) patients with anterior fossa brain Meningiomas, 31 (10%) patients with posterior fossa brain Meningiomas and so on.



Fig. 1: Distribution of tumor location in details in studied group.

There is significant correlation between grade of tumor and gender of patients. 2 (100%) of grade 3 tumor occur in males, 25 (65.8%) of grade 2 tumor occur in females while 204 (76.1%) of grade 1 tumor occur in females. There is no significant correlation between grade of tumor and age groups of patients, location of tumor. As show in table 2.

Variables			Grade		P-value
		1	2	3	
	<39	65	8	1	
		24.3%	21.1%	50.0%	
	40-59	144	19	1	
Age groups		53.7%	50.0%	50.0%	0.74
(years)	>=60	59	11	0	
		22.0%	28.9%	0.0%	
	Total	268	38	2	
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Gender	Female	204	25	0	0.02
		76.1%	65.8%	0.0%	
	Male	64	13	2	
		23.9%	34.2%	100.0%	
	Total	268	38	2	
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
location	Non basal	152	22	2	
		56.7%	57.9%	100.0%	0.47
	Basal	116	16	0	
		43.3%	42.1%	0.0%	
	Total	268	38	2	
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Table 2: Association between grade of tumor and age groups, gender of patients, location of tumor in studied group.

P-value ≤ 0.05 (significant).

DISCUSSION

Meningiomas are the most common benign intracranial tumors. Despite the facts that patients with these tumors are frequently treated in neurosurgical units and that there is an extensive body of literature, recently, current guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of meningiomas have been summarized by the European (EANO).^[13] Association of Neuro-Oncology Meningiomas are frequently diagnosed incidentals and up to date no reliable clinical or imaging biomarker is available to identify atypical meningioma or anaplastic variants prior to surgery. Radiographic findings, including brain invasion, bone invasion, tumor necrosis and peritumoral edema in the surrounding brain, have been found to be associated with higher-grade meningiomas.[14,15]

In current study the location in details as the following; 140 (45.45%) of patients with convexity brain Meningiomas, 86 (27.92%) patients with anterior fossa brain Meningiomas, 31 (10%) patients with posterior fossa brain Meningiomas and so on this is similar to other study that state recently, Sade et al. reported that skull base meningioma have a fourfold decreased risk of being atypical or malignant as compared with non-skull base tumors^[16], although some of them may also have an aggressive growth pattern, which may require extensive resection.^[17] Other studies, however, controversially indicated, that atypical and malignant meningiomas are more frequently found at the convexity.^[18] By analyzing MRI features and locations of intracranial meningiomas Hale et al. found, that location along the falx and

convexity was predictive for atypical meningioma.^[14] The skull convexity is known to represents one of the most frequent meningioma locations.^[19,20]

In current study the mean age is (37.3 ± 13.8) , 146 (53.2%) of patients are at age group 40-59 (middle age group). 229 (74.4%) of patients are females, 132 (42.9%) of patients are skull base tumor location and the rest are non-base. 268 (87%) of patients are at grade 1 tumor, 38 (12.3%) of them are at grade 2, this is similar to other studies that state 184 [76.7%] female and 56 [23.3%] male) were surgically treated. The mean age was 59.0±12.8 years (Table 1). Table 2 depicts the different locations of meningiomas with regard to their histological grading. Histology revealed grade I meningioma in 189 (78.8%) cases, grade II in 49 (20.4%) and grade III in 2 (0.8%), respectively.^[5]

Also in another studies state that 17 patients (7.1% were in the age group 20–40 years, 112 patients (46.7%) in the age group 41–60 years and 111 (46.3%) in the group>60 years, respectively.^[5,21,22]

In current study, there is significant correlation between grade of tumor and gender of patients. 2 (100%) of grade 3 tumor occur in males, 25 (65.8%) of grade 2 tumor occur in females while 204 (76.1%) of grade 1 tumor occur in females. There is no significant correlation between grade of tumor and age groups of patients, location of tumor. This is similar to other study that state age was not a significant predictor of grade II meningioma's^[5,18], also another study state that although female gender has an overall higher incidence of

meningiomas^[23,24], the results showed an increased risk for male gender for higher-grade meningiomas which was according to the previous studies.^[25,26] The possible reasons for the male gender association with highergrade meningiomas are still unclear. The chromosome abnormalities, hormone receptor status and hormone levels might affect the trend for higher grade tumors.^[25] The observations that non-skull base meningiomas are more likely to be the higher-grade meningiomas is supported by many studies.^[27] This was also confirmed by our present study. Based on the further detailed anatomical stratification of non-skull base location, we found that the most common site of atypical and anaplastic meningiomas was the cerebral convexity.^[23]

CONCLUSION

Brain Meningiomas occur more in females at age group 40-59 years old, most patients are at grade 1, convexity brain Meningiomas is more common site of tumor, there is correlation between tumor grade 1, 2 and females gender. While grade 3 more occur in male gender.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wiemels J, Wrensch M, Claus EB. Epidemiology and etiology of meningioma. J Neurooncol [Internet], 2010 Sep [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 99(3): 307–14. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20821343/
- Mansour K, Elwi DA, Khalifa SE, Ibrahim HA. Immunohistochemical Expression of MUC4 in Different Meningioma Subtypes in Comparison to Some Mesenchymal Non-Meningothelial Tumors. Open Access Maced J Med Sci [Internet], 2021 Aug 14 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 9(A): 626–31. Available from:
 - https://oamjms.eu/index.php/mjms/article/view/6783
- 3. Porter KR, McCarthy BJ, Freels S, Kim Y, Davis FG. Prevalence estimates for primary brain tumors in the United States by age, gender, behavior, and histology. Neuro Oncol [Internet], 2010 Jun [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 12(6): 520–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20511189/
- Baldi I, Engelhardt J, Bonnet C, Bauchet L, Berteaud E, Grüber A, et al. Epidemiology of meningiomas. Neurochirurgie [Internet], 2014 Sep 22 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 64(1): 5–14. Available from: https://europepmc.org/article/med/25249493
- Ressel A, Fichte S, Brodhun M, Rosahl SK, Gerlach R. WHO grade of intracranial meningiomas differs with respect to patient's age, location, tumor size and peritumoral edema. J Neurooncol [Internet], 2019 Nov 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 145(2): 277–86. Available https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31578671/
- Gozal YM, Alzhrani G, Abou-Al-Shaar H, Azab MA, Walsh MT, Couldwell WT. Outcomes of decompressive surgery for cavernous sinus meningiomas: long-term follow-up in 50 patients. J Neurosurg [Internet], 2020 [cited 2022 Mar 12];

132(2): 380–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30771770/

- Jenkinson MD, Weber DC, Haylock BJ, Mallucci CL, Zakaria R, Javadpour M. Atypical meningoma: current management dilemmas and prospective clinical trials. J Neurooncol [Internet], 2015 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 121(1): 1–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25258253/
- Gousias K, Schramm J, Simon M. The Simpson grading revisited: aggressive surgery and its place in modern meningioma management. J Neurosurg [Internet], 2016 Sep 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 125(3): 551–60. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26824369/
- Adeberg S, Hartmann C, Welzel T, Rieken S, Habermehl D, Von Deimling A, et al. Clinical Investigation Long-term Outcome After Radiotherapy in Patients with Atypical and Malignant MeningiomasdClinical Results in 85 Patients Treated in a Single Institution Leading to Optimized Guidelines for Early Radiation Therapy Radiation Oncology. Radiat Oncol Biol [Internet], 2011 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 1–6. Available from: www.redjournal.org
- Backer-Grøndahl T, Moen BH, Arnli MB, Torseth K, Torp SH. Immunohistochemical characterization of brain-invasive meningiomas. Int J Clin Exp Pathol [Internet], 2014 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 7(10): 7206. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4230100/
- Menke JR, Raleigh DR, Gown AM, Thomas S, Perry A, Tihan T. Somatostatin receptor 2a is a more sensitive diagnostic marker of meningioma than epithelial membrane antigen. Acta Neuropathol [Internet], 2015 Sep 21 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 130(3): 441–3. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26195322/
- 12. Kaur S, Kumar S, Momi N, Sasson AR, Batra SK. Mucins in pancreatic cancer and its microenvironment. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol [Internet], 2013 Oct [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 10(10): 607–20. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23856888/
- Goldbrunner R, Minniti G, Preusser M, Jenkinson MD, Sallabanda K, Houdart E, et al. EANO guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of meningiomas. Lancet Oncol [Internet], 2016 Sep 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 17(9): e383–91. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27599143/
- 14. Hale AT, Wang L, Strother MK, Chambless LB. Differentiating meningioma grade by imaging features on magnetic resonance imaging. J Clin Neurosci [Internet], 2018 Feb 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 48: 71–5. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29174756/
- 15. Hale AT, Stonko DP, Wang L, Strother MK, Chambless LB. Machine learning analyses can differentiate meningioma grade by features on magnetic resonance imaging. Neurosurg Focus [Internet], 2018 Nov 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 45(5). Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30453458/

- 16. Sade B, Chahlavi A, Krishnaney A, Nagel S, Choi E, Lee JH. World Health Organization Grades II and III meningiomas are rare in the cranial base and spine. Neurosurgery [Internet], 2007 Dec [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 61(6): 1194–8. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18162898/
- Couldwell WT, Macdonald JD, Taussky P. Complete resection of the cavernous sinusindications and technique. World Neurosurg [Internet]. 2014 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 82(6): 1264–70. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23994071/
- Magill ST, Young JS, Chae R, Aghi MK, Theodosopoulos P V., McDermott MW. Relationship between tumor location, size, and WHO grade in meningioma. Neurosurg Focus [Internet], 2018 Apr 1 [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 44(4). Available from: https://wwbmed.psbi.plm.pib.gou/20606048/

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29606048/

- Champeaux C, Weller J, Katsahian S. Epidemiology of meningiomas. A nationwide study of surgically treated tumours on French medico-administrative data. Cancer Epidemiol [Internet], Feb 1, 2019; [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 58: 63–70. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30481723/
- Qi ST, Liu Y, Pan J, Chotai S, Fang LX. A radiopathological classification of dural tail sign of meningiomas. J Neurosurg, Oct, 2012; 117(4): 645– 53.
- Voß KM, Spille DC, Sauerland C, Suero Molina E, Brokinkel C, Paulus W, et al. The Simpson grading in meningioma surgery: does the tumor location influence the prognostic value? J Neurooncol [Internet], Jul 1, 2017; [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 133(3): 641–51. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28527009/
- 22. Jadid KD, Feychting M, Höijer J, Hylin S, Kihlström L, Mathiesen T. Long-term follow-up of incidentally discovered meningiomas. Acta Neurochir (Wien) [Internet], Jan 28, 2015; [cited 2022 Mar 12]; 157(2): 225–30. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25503298/
- Cornelius JF, Slotty PJ, Steiger HJ, Hänggi D, Polivka M, George B. Malignant potential of skull base versus non-skull base meningiomas: Clinical series of 1,663 cases. Acta Neurochir (Wien),. Mar, 2013; 155(3): 407–13.
- Terrier LM, François P. [Multiple meningiomas]. Neurochirurgie [Internet]. 2016 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Mar 13]; 62(3): 128–35. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27234913/
- 25. Zhou P, Ma W, Yin S, Li Y, Jiang S. Three risk factors for WHO grade II and III meningiomas: A study of 1737 cases from a single center. Neurol India [Internet], 2013 Jan; [cited 2022 Mar 13]; 61(1): 40. Available from: https://www.neurologyindia.com/article.asp?issn=00

28-

3886;year=2013;volume=61;issue=1;spage=40;epag e=44;aulast=Zhou

- 26. Pasquier D, Bijmolt S, Veninga T, Rezvoy N, Villa S, Krengli M, et al. Atypical and Malignant Meningioma: Outcome and Prognostic Factors in 119 Irradiated Patients. A Multicenter, Retrospective Study of the Rare Cancer Network. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, Aug 1, 2008; 71(5): 1388–93.
- 27. Kane AJ, Sughrue ME, Rutkowski MJ, Shangari G, Fang S, McDermott MW, et al. Anatomic location is a risk factor for atypical and malignant meningiomas. Cancer [Internet], 2011 Mar 15 [cited 2022 Mar 13]; 117(6): 1272–8. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21381014/

<u>www.wjahr.com</u>